

Amendment No. 12

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ACTION

ADOPTED	<u> </u>	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	<u> </u>	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	<u> </u>	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	<u> </u>	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	<u> </u>	(Y/N)
OTHER	<u> </u>	

1 Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Judiciary Committee
 2 Representative Moskowitz offered the following:

Amendment (with ballot and title amendments)

Remove lines 41-53 and insert:

the office of judge of a district court of appeal if, by the end
of the current term of office, the person has ever served or,
but for resignation, would have served, in that office for
twenty consecutive years.

ARTICLE XII

SCHEDULE

Applicability of limitations on the terms of justices and
judges.—The amendment to Section 8 of Article V shall take
effect upon approval by the electors. The limitations of the
amendment on the terms of judges of a district court of appeal
applies only to judges appointed to office after the effective
date of the

Amendment No. 12

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

B A L L O T A M E N D M E N T

Remove lines 60-67 and insert:

TERM LIMITS FOR CERTAIN APPELLATE JUDGES.—Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution to limit the terms of judges of a district court of appeal. They currently serve unlimited 6-year terms, if retained, until age 70 or beyond that age, if less than one-half of a term remains at age 70. The amendment prohibits such judges from appearing on a ballot for retention if the judge served for twenty years. The amendment does not apply to current judges.

T I T L E A M E N D M E N T

Remove lines 5-7 and insert:

limits for judges of a district court of appeal; limiting applicability to judges appointed after the effective date